



ANTI-SUBSIDIES OR PRO-SUBSIDIES?

Creative semantics and political maneuvering have become futile efforts by Obama to disguise his biased, ideological agenda. Case in point, in his fiscal year (FY) 2012 budget request, President Obama proposed to end subsidies for oil companies by eliminating tax breaks. The President attacks oil subsidies while continuing to push for subsidies for renewable fuels, electric vehicles, wind, solar, clean coal, and even natural gas.

An example of political bias and blatant discrepancy; *-----the major federal subsidy for wind producers is the option to take a 30 percent investment tax credit or to receive a 2.2 cents per kilowatt-hour production tax credit. "2.2 cents" doesn't seem like much, but, depending on the time of year, it falls somewhere between 25 percent and 100 percent of the wholesale price of electricity. Forty percent is frequently used as the average.

So, what would an oil-production subsidy look like if it were the same magnitude?

Deepwater drilling rigs can cost over \$400,000 per day. With other costs, a rough per-well figure would be \$100,000,000 per well. If an oil company could get the same 30 percent investment tax credit as wind producers, the government would write the company a \$30,000,000 check for each well completed. For the lower cost, shallow-water wells, the government would write a check closer to \$3,000,000 for every well drilled.]

Oil companies pay a higher marginal tax rate at 41 percent compared to 26 percent for the rest of businesses in Standard & Poor's 500. According to the Congressional Research Service, President Obama's tax hikes on the oil and gas industry proposed in his FY 2012 budget would be passed onto consumers. Without the federal government's interference in the marketplace oil companies would be drilling on and off shore, bringing in millions in tax revenues, increasing jobs by hundreds of thousands and eliminating America's dependence on foreign oil.

A conservative approach includes: eliminate energy subsidies across the board, eliminate DOE (Department of Energy) subsidies for government research and leave research to private enterprise, eliminate the EPA, and repeal tax breaks while cutting oil company taxes to be in line with other businesses.

What is the difference between political manipulation and conspiracy? Both can be used to destroy the free market. Perhaps secrecy rather than cunning is the difference. Manipulating the marketplace through taxation and regulation for some while offering preferential treatment for others is contrary to the integrity and of the office of President of the United States .

America is rich in resources that when left to the free market offers affordable energy for American families and businesses.

Is Obama anti-subsidies or pro-subsidies? Does he speak with forked tongue or does he, as president, believe he can cleverly circumvent principles that underlie a free marketplace? When election time arrives, remember that a man is only as good as his actions.

by Nancy Armstrong

* What If Oil Producers Actually Received Subsidies Like Wind Energy Producers?

Posted May 13th, 2011 at 1:00pm in Energy and Environment, The Heritage Foundation

[Page 2.](#) The Great Mistake [Page 3.](#) America and the Middle East, America Must Reset Its Foreign Policy, Immediately! [Page 4.](#) Freedom Foundation Awards, EPA issues 'Clean Water Protection Guidance' Ignores State Agencies [Page 5.](#) The Great Threat, Congressman Mike Simpson, Impact of the EPA Endangerment Finding [Page 6.](#) Private Enterprise and Right To Work., Waivers to the Select and Mules that Pull the Cart! [Page 7.](#) (Healthcare)_State Exchange vs. Obamacare Exchange-Conservative VS. Federal Government, General Motors Sponsors and Celebrates Chinese Propaganda Film [Page 8.](#) Information, Books



THE GREAT MISTAKE

By John Anderson

On May 19, 2011 Barak Obama left no doubt in the eyes of this citizen that the single most damaging mistake America has ever made was electing this radical globalist to the highest office in the land.

Obama's speech on the Middle East situation, and specifically the Israeli/ Palestinian crisis was profoundly un-American, and overtly anti-Jewish in its potential consequence. It reversed America's longstanding commitment to Israel's current borders, openly took the side of Hamas and Fatah, both of which have stated that their goal is the destruction of Israel, and fueled the fire of war between the Arab states and the people of Israel. This President voiced a position that helps our enemies far more than it helps our friends.

In the speech Obama stated that America supported, and in fact, mandated that any Palestinian / Israeli solution must be based on the borders in place prior to 1967. The significance of this statement and the magnitude of America's reversal of position are nothing short of a betrayal of our friend, Israel.

To do what Obama is demanding of Israel is to require them to commit suicide as a nation. It is to relinquish their ability to defend themselves from those who openly call for their annihilation. It was stunning to hear an American President say these things.

What Barak Obama said in his speech on the Middle East has poured gasoline on an already out of control anti-Israel movement. History tells us clearly where this will lead. The Holocaust in Nazi Germany began in much the same way that this current anti-Israel movement has begun. Nazi's believed in a master race. Radical Islam believes the same thing, for different reasons. Nazi's demanded appeasement from the West when they sought to take, by force, the Sudetenland, which they claimed was rightfully theirs. The Palestinians, backed by Hamas, Fatah, the Muslim Brotherhood, and apparently President Obama, seek the very same concession. Nazis hated the Jews. So does radical Islam. The Nazis brought on the Holocaust. The aim of radical Islam is to initiate another Holocaust, and Barak Obama, whether intentionally or unintentionally, has furthered that cause.

Remember this. What befalls Israel as a result of America's great shame under Obama, will ultimately befall each of us if we remain silent and passive. We have a President who protects our enemies and abandons our friends. We need to remember that vividly on Election Day in 2012.

If you wonder why we should support the Jews and Israel, listen to what a German Pastor said after WWII had almost destroyed the world. Martin Niemoller, reflecting on how Hitler and the Nazis systematically eliminated those who opposed their master race, resulting in the Holocaust, offered a warning:

"In Germany, they (the Gestapo) came first for the Communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Communist. Then they came for the Jews, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew. Then they came for the trade unionists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a unionist. Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up because I was a Protestant. Then they came for me, and by that time no one was left to speak up."

Another Holocaust can and will happen again unless good people stand up and speak with one voice against this anti-Jewish flood of hatred throughout the Middle East. If we don't take Pastor Neimoller at his word, history will repeat itself.

Adolf Hitler said of his time in history, "How fortunate for those in power that the people never think".

It's time for Americans to think, to stand up and to speak out in opposition to our government's complicity in what could easily become another Holocaust. Whether you are a Jew, a Christian, an atheist, gay or straight, black or white, man or woman, the threat is real and the danger is pointed at you as well as Israel. If radical Islam prevails, we are all lost. Stand firm for Israel in the public square and demand that Senators Risch and Crapo, and Congressmen Labrador and Simpson speak out publicly against this President, in blunt language, affirming America's support of Israel, her current borders, her security and her right to exist, against all enemies. To do less is to be complicit in the tragedy to come.



AMERICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST

By John Anderson

The recent involvement of the United States in the Libyan civil war puts us at the edge of a precipice in world events. It is yet further evidence that America has chosen the wrong side in world affairs by either ignoring the roots of Islam or embracing them as an alternative to our Judeo-Christian foundations. There is no middle ground on this issue for our leaders. Our policy is advocating for the wrong side. The current Islamic problems in the world are cast as a battle between western cultures and eastern religion. We play everything off to a galactic misunderstanding of Islam by Americans and western cultures in general. This should be astounding to most Americans who have even a cursory understanding of Biblical scripture. You don't have to be a believer in Christianity, Judaism or Islam to read and understand the prophecies cited in the Bible, which of course were written far in advance of what is known as the Koran today.

This conflict, which will only worsen, is the Biblical battle between Isaac and Ishmael, for the blessings of God's eternal inheritance. Just because some choose not to believe in the Bible or the Koran, be assured that Islamists do. Jews and Christians are the descendants of Isaac, who God chose as His heir, according to the Bible. Ishmael was blessed, but was not chosen by God in the same way. The die was cast 2,500 years before the creation of Islam. Even in the days of Jefferson, we fought battles with Islamic pirates in what we know as Libya. They believed then what Islamists believe now. Namely, that all "infidels", or non-believers in Islam, must be punished or killed in order to bring about their Islamic Caliphate. The more we acknowledge this reality, the better our foreign policy decisions will be.

AMERICA MUST RESET ITS FOREIGN POLICY IMMEDIATELY

First, we must return to our full support to Israel and our full commitment to defend them against all enemies. We cannot let this alliance slip away. The price will be high if we do. Secondly, as a purely practical matter, America needs to pull back sharply on our foreign involvements in conflicts around the world. Obama is taking us inexorably the other way and he needs to be stopped. America would be much better served if we did the following things:

1. Announced to the world that America is completely on the side of Israel and will use all of its power, its military and its weapons to protect the Jewish state, no matter what. This will put the Islamists on notice that America will not sit out any war that Islam brings against Israel.

2. America needs to get out of Libya immediately. We have no security interest in being there now and we will achieve no tangible benefit if their leader is ousted. It's a loser's policy all around. Congress should stop funding any military action in Libya immediately.

3. Get out of Afghanistan within a few months. Between Bush and Obama, we have turned a retaliatory action to remove the Taliban from power into a ten year war that has no end in sight. America cannot afford to be a nation builder for anyone. In the case of Afghanistan, we are trying to build a peaceful, democratic state out of a Stone Age tribal society, most of whom are illiterate and all of whom are bent on violence to solve problems and opium production to sustain them economically. If we want to keep the Taliban from reassuming power, it must not be at the expense of our American soldiers, Marines and Airmen. Get out now.

4. Get out of Iraq if we are done there. If we need to protect the oil for economic purposes, then tell Americans the truth and use only the force necessary to do that. Don't cast this war as anything else. Tell the truth and do the minimum things necessary to keep America safe and sound.

5. Stop all foreign aid to Islamic countries. It is a waste of money, at best. At its worst, we are arming and funding those whose mission it is to kill us. It is a policy built upon progressive Islamic apologetics and American political correctness at a cost in American lives and treasure.

6. Stop any and all moves to allow Sharia Law to be used in any court in America. If we can remove all remnants of Judeo-Christian law from our courts under the false premise of "separation of church and state", surely we can do the same with Islamic law. If that offends Muslims, so be it. We Christians and Jews have been offended for decades by Progressive ideology.

As the 2012 Presidential elections get underway, we must evaluate candidates on their commitment to fiscal responsibility and a willingness to dramatically cut the size, scope and reach of the federal government. The other is their commitment to defend Israel to the end. Americans must acknowledge that President Obama is neither a fiscally responsible nor a friend of Israel. That makes him a clear and present danger to this country and our position in history.

Do your homework America. The price of ignorance is much higher than you think.

**IDAHO****OTTER, LUNA WIN IDAHO FREEDOM FOUNDATION AWARDS FOR EDUCATION REFORM
NAMPA RESIDENT MAURICE CLEMENTS RECOGNIZED FOR LIFETIME ACHIEVEMENT**

Wayne Hoffman, May 20, 2011

NAMPA, Idaho -- Gov. C.L. "Butch" Otter and Superintendent Tom Luna won the Idaho Freedom Foundation's "Education Innovation" award Thursday night during a banquet attended by nearly 400 people. IFF Executive Director Wayne Hoffman recognized Otter and Luna for promoting and winning passage of legislation intended to put students first and return control of public schools to locally-elected school boards.

Said Hoffman, "Thanks to the Legislature, our school boards, for the first time, will be free to hire the best teachers and reward those great teachers for their hard work. Collective bargaining agreements will no longer be negotiated in secret. No longer will union labor agreements last forever and cover every aspect of the operation of our schools. These reforms are re-empowering our local elected school boards to make the decisions they were elected to make." Hoffman noted that Otter and Luna have led the charge to reform public schools, despite continued criticism from the Idaho Education Association, which has tried to convince voters that the reforms are an attack on students and parents, when in fact, it is just the opposite. "For all they have done and continue to do to improve our schools, Governor Otter and Superintendent Luna are the winners of our 2011 Education Innovation award."

IFF gave its 2011 Ralph Smeed Lifetime Achievement Award to Maurice Clements of Nampa. Clements is a limited-government advocate, former legislator and co-founder with Smeed of the Center for the Study of Market Alternatives. Hoffman said, "Maurice has fought tirelessly in support of freedom. He is someone who does not back down from a fight against those who want to grow government, who puts his own money and time and reputation on the line to defend freedom." The award is named for Ralph Smeed, who died last year after a battle with cancer and was IFF's first Lifetime Achievement Award winner.

Other winners include Jack Stuart of Meridian, who won the Pioneer Award; Rep. Judy Boyle and Sen. Monty Pearce, who won Freedom's Hero awards for their work on anti-Obamacare legislation and on legislation to fight the introduction of wolves into Idaho; Rachel Gilbert, Hubert Osbourne and Don Brandt, winners of the Patriot Award for their work in support of free markets; and Middleton City Councilman Lenny Riccio for his work promoting government transparency in his city.

EPA ISSUES 'CLEAN WATER PROTECTION GUIDANCE' IGNORES STATE AGENCIES

Congressman Mike Simpson is Chairman of the House Interior and Environment Appropriations Subcommittee, which oversees funding for the EPA. Simpson Joins Congressional Leaders in Protecting State Water Jurisdiction. This bipartisan group is expressing concern about guidance put out by the Environment Protection Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers that would change the definition of waters subject to the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act. Last week the EPA issued "Clean Water Protection Guidance." By the agency's own admission, this "Guidance" will substantively change federal policy with respect to which waters fall under the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act and significantly increase the scope of the federal government's power to regulate waters.

"Most farmers and ranchers I talk to are scared to death about the possibility that the Environmental Protection Agency would be able to regulate their irrigation ditches, drainage ponds, and even groundwater, and I understand why," said Simpson. "In the West, water is critical to our way of life. Allowing the federal government to claim jurisdiction over state waters would have a devastating impact on rural communities throughout our state and the food supply throughout our nation. For that reason and others, I have moved to insert language in the House Continuing Resolution that will prevent any attempt by the EPA to claim additional jurisdiction over state waters and increase its already excessive authority over Western farmers, families, and businesses." said Simpson.

"The 'Guidance' substantively changes the policy of both the EPA and the Corps of Engineers and appears to short-circuit the legislative process, something that would have damaging impacts on communities, farms, ranches, and businesses throughout Idaho." The letter, signed by the 170 Members of Congress, states, "By developing this 'Guidance,' the Agencies have ignored calls from state agencies and environmental groups, among others, to proceed through the normal rulemaking procedures, and have avoided consulting with the States, which are the Agencies' partners in implementing the Clean Water Act."



CONGRESSMAN MIKE SIMPSON

Recently in Washington

Last week the House of Representatives passed H.R. 1229, putting the Gulf of Mexico Back to Work Act, which reforms the leasing process in the Gulf of Mexico, as well as H.R. 1231, which reverses the President's offshore drilling moratorium. Congressman Simpson cosponsored both of these bills.

The House also passed H.R. 754, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011. Congressman Simpson supported this bill. Simpson Cosponsors Farm Dust Regulation Prevention Act Congressman Mike Simpson has joined his colleagues in opposing EPA's efforts to regulate dust by becoming a cosponsor of H.R. 1633, the Farm Dust Regulation Prevention Act of 2011. This legislation would stop the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in its current review from imposing more stringent dust standards for one year. Simpson, like many of his western colleagues, has deep concern about the impact of the dust regulation on communities in the arid west and believes the increased regulatory burden associated with dust standards would cause extreme hardship to farmers, livestock producers, and other resource-based industries throughout rural America. "The EPA acknowledges that the evidence that rural dust is damaging to human health is inconclusive, yet they continue to regulate it in the same manner as other forms of particulate matter, for which evidence is more definite," said Simpson.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is in the midst of a five-year review of the Clean Air Act's National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for Particulate Matter (PM). One form of regulated matter, coarse PM (dust), becomes airborne when a person drives on unpaved roads or farmers work in their fields, and is composed primarily of soil particles and naturally occurring organic materials.

House appropriators this week released their proposed spending levels for the Interior and Environment Appropriations Subcommittee, at \$27.5 billion for the agencies it funds. This represents a \$2 billion reduction compared with enacted levels, and nearly \$4 billion less than the president requested for EPA, Interior and other related agencies.

Simpson Issues Statement on Language in CR to Block Greenhouse Gas Regulations

Posted by on February 11, 2011, Idaho Congressman Mike Simpson, who chairs the House Interior and Environment Appropriations Subcommittee, issued the following statement after putting language into H.R. 1, the FY2011 Continuing Resolution, prohibiting the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from using funds to implement climate change regulations scheduled to go into effect later this year.

See: <http://www.simpson.house>

EPA, THE GREAT THREAT

In 2009 alone the EPA entered 331 rules and regulations into the Unified Agenda. This was the second most rules proposed out of all the Federal departments and agencies. All this was proposed in 2009, when the EPA (according to their chart) claims that carbon dioxide and other pollutant emission levels were at their lowest level in 30 years! The air was cleaner than ever in 2009 and yet the EPA grew by almost \$3 billion the very next year in 2010. The Environmental Protection Agency's budget -- which ballooned an estimated 34 percent last year, as the Obama administration set out new ambitions for the agency -- would receive slightly less funding in fiscal 2011, according to budget documents.

Congressman Mike Simpson as Chairman of the House Interior and Environment Appropriations Subcommittee, and oversees funding for the EPA must initiate more than a \$2 billion cut in the EPA budget! The EPA must be stopped from imposing regulations that burden farms, ranches and other businesses in the State of Idaho. The EPA is out of control or should I say, "in control"? Defund the EPA!

By Nancy Armstrong

IMPACT OF THE EPA ENDANGERMENT FINDING

by Ben Lieberman WebMemo #2766

Published on January 20, 2010 Small Business

While Congress continues to debate the merits of climate change legislation, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has been steadily moving forward with a process to regulate greenhouse gases (GHGs) under the ill-suited framework of the Clean Air Act (CAA). Congress can and should stop this regulatory overreach.

See: <http://www.heritage.org>



PRIVATE ENTERPRISE & RIGHT-TO-WORK

Boeing Corporation decided to build a new assembly plant in Charleston, South Carolina, in order to produce the 787 Dreamliner. The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) filed a complaint against Boeing, alleging that the company decided to build the plant in South Carolina out of retaliation for union strikes at its Washington state facilities. Nevermind that Boeing actually added 2,000 jobs in Washington on this particular project. South Carolina is a right-to-work state, meaning that Boeing can hire non-union workers. Right-to-work states are a threat to unions' dominance. (It's worth noting that the NLRB today is composed of four members, three of whom are Obama appointees.)

The National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) versus Boeing, illustrates how the federal government is trying to dictate where and how private industry may do business (while, incidentally, supporting Obama's political supporters—the unions). The NLRB, predominantly Obama appointees, is doing all it can to help unions at the expense of right-to-work states, corporations and at the end of the day, American workers.

WAIVERS TO THE 'SELECT' AND MULES THAT PULL THE CART!

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has approved 1,372 Obamacare waivers, covering 3.1 million Americans. Their most recent report on Obamacare waivers include 20 percent in Pelosi's Northern California district.

On Monday, the Las Vegas Sun reported that Nevada—Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid's home state—received a partial statewide Obamacare waiver. Reid's state will be one of three to get a waiver from the law's requirements. The Sun reports that HHS found that some of the law's implementation requirements "may lead to the destabilization of the individual market." Senator Dean Heller (R-NV) said that that's all the more reason why Obamacare should be repealed: It is becoming increasingly clear how flawed this law really is . . . Not only did it cut a half trillion dollars from Medicare, impacting thousands of Nevada's seniors, now the law would have driven health insurers out of our state if a reprieve had not been granted . . . This is why 'Obamacare' will not work for Nevada."

Employers are flocking to secure the waivers offered by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to keep their employees covered because they cannot afford the increased cost of Obamacare. Included are, McDonald's and Jack in the Box; Dish Networks, hair salon chain Regis Corp and resort giant Universal Orlando. Every major Big Labor organization from the AFL-CIO to the CWA to the SEIU are included in the 'select' bandwagon. The Pacific Northwest outdoor equipment retailer's progressive CEO and Democratic campaign donor, Sally Jewell, appeared with President Obama in 2009 to tout White House health care reform initiatives. Two years later, REI snagged a waiver to protect the health benefits of 1,180 workers from the very tentacles of the big government bureaucrats.

The following unions have received waivers: • Teamsters Local 485 Health and Welfare Fund in Brooklyn, N.Y. • Detroit and Vicinity Trowel Trades Health and Welfare Fund • Communications Workers of America (CWA) Local 1182 Security Benefits Fund • CWA Local 1183 Health and Welfare Fund • Bakers Union and Food Employees Labor Relations Association Health and Welfare Fund • Service Employees International Union Healthcare Illinois Home Care and Child Care Fund • United Food and Commercial Workers San Diego Employers Health and Welfare Trust • Welfare Fund of the International Union of Operating Engineers Local 15, 15A, 15C, 15D AFL-CIO • United Steelworkers Local 1-0318 Health and Welfare Trust Fund • United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices Local 198 AFL-CIO Health and Welfare Trust • Teamsters Local 617 Welfare Fund in Ridgefield, N.J. • Teamsters Local 734 Welfare Fund in Chicago • Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 60 Health and Welfare Fund • New York State Nurses Welfare Plan for New York City Employed Registered Professional Nurses

Transparency is weak, at best, as there doesn't appear to be a complete list of the 'select' given a free pass regarding waivers. Unions and big corporations that support the political left are being rescued from the plight of Obamacare while taxpayers will be the mules that pull the cart.



STATE EXCHANGE VS. OBAMACARE EXCHANGE-CONSERVATIVE VS. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Karl Rove stated on Fox News, May 18 that he estimates 80-100 million people will lose their health insurance coverage when the mandate goes into effect December 31, 2013 though the CBO projects 35 million people. Regardless of the actual statistics their reliance on the federal government's, Obamacare, the exchange will be the burden of the taxpayer.

* Conservative, Market-Based State Exchanges Defined

- **Contribution Model v. Government Model:** A conservative, market-based exchange is an administrative mechanism for enabling businesses to offer workers a way to choose and own their health insurance while still benefiting from the current tax breaks for employer-sponsored coverage. In sharp contrast, an Obamacare exchange is intended to be a bureaucratic tool for the federal government to subsidize, standardize, and micromanage health insurance coverage.

- **Beware of Federal Money:** As Utah has shown, creating a conservative, market-based exchange doesn't require significant expenditures. Therefore, states should use only their own funds when setting up market-based exchanges that are independent of Obamacare. Separately, if a state decides to authorize a limited, "defensive" exchange—so as to preempt Washington from establishing a federal Obamacare exchange in the state—state lawmakers should take care to ensure that such a defensive exchange does not accept any federal funding that directly or indirectly obligates the state to abide by federal requirements.

Last week, Glenn Beck brought attention to the following story during his show.

We have included the story in case our readers missed it.

GENERAL MOTORS SPONSORS AND CELEBRATES CHINESE PROPAGANDA FILM

by John Nolte

Editor-in-Chief Big Hollywood

Taxpayers bail out a corporation and the corporation spends money to sponsor a Chinese propaganda film. This is how the game works with the Left. Think about it... Taxpayers fund Planned Parenthood and then that abortion mill turns around and spends money to get Democrats elected. Taxpayers pay the salaries of public government employees and in some states (like Wisconsin) a portion of those salaries is garnished to pay dues to unions that spend millions to elect Democrats. How much corporate welfare does Hollywood receive? Almost every day we read about how the film industry is singled out for another special tax break that translates into a whole lot more money to funnel to Democrats.

See how this works?

On a regular basis, your hard-earned money is used against your own political interests; all thanks in part to a legalized money-laundering scheme created and sustained by your own government. Read on...

Terrific work from Kerry Picket in the Washington Times:

In late 2010, General Motors agreed to sponsor a propaganda film celebrating the 90th anniversary of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP). The CCP made film titled (translated to English) "The Birth of a Party" or "The Great Achievement of Founding the Party" is set to premiere all over the Communist nation on June 15 reported China AutoWeb last September. ...

The United States government currently own 33% of the GM company following the auto-bailouts of 2009, and GM CEO Daniel Akerson describes China, as the "key to [GM's] success." (h/t The Detroit Bureau)

Presently, GM's business in China is selling more autos in the Asian country than in the United States. The Washington Post noted last week that China was GM's solution to help the car-maker recover from bankruptcy[.] ...

Along with concern over China's ownership of trillions of dollars of U.S. debt, it is truly troubling that an American company financially supported now by the U.S. taxpayer is happily promoting Communist propaganda that glosses over the atrocities of the Chinese Cultural Revolution. What's next for GM? Selling military vehicles for the Chinese to threaten their own people with?

See full article: <http://www.bighollywood.breitbart.com/jjmnolte/2011/05/17/general-motors-sponsors-and-celebrates-chinese-propaganda-film/>



**TEA PARTY BOISE NEWSLETTER INVITES
YOUR COMMENTARY.**

We reserve the right to publish and edit. Please keep submissions up to 350 words. Send to : contact@teapartyboise.com

The threat against the very essence and core of what the United States of America exemplifies, is real. Our Constitution, the Declaration of Independence and Bill of Rights were written to prohibit political and judicial manipulations that bespeak oppressive i.e. big government. This is a Republic (A state in which supreme power is held by the people---). We are a nation suffering a threat from within.

If we, in our home towns, our state and nation, are subjugated by the government, our dollar weakened, property diminished, and spirit depressed, does it not indicate that we must act with stronger resolve and greater passion to dismantle the foes of freedom. Contact your congressmen and senators in Idaho. Let them know how they are doing and what you believe will promote freedom from big government. Invite them to join us at Tea Party Boise. Encourage your friends to do the same.

- WRITE AND CALL STATE REPRESENTATIVES & SENATORS
- CONTACT THE GOVERNOR
- SUPPORT WITH YOUR REOURCES ORGANIZATIONS THAT IMPACT PUBLIC OPINION.

SIGN UP AND VOLUNTEER YOUR TIME TO SUPPORT LIBERTY.

E-mail: contact@teapartyboise.com

Articles written for and included in the Tea Party Boise Newsletter are perspectives on various issues and are the opinions of the writers.

SENATORS:

Mike Crapo: <http://www.crapo.senate.gov>
Washington Ph: 202-24-6142
Fax: 202-228-1375

James Risch: <http://www.risch.senate.gov>
Washington Ph: 202-224-2752

MEMBERS OF CONGRESS:

Mike Simpson: <http://www.simpson.house.gov>
Washington Ph: 202-225-5531
Fax: 202-228-1375

Raul Labrador <http://www.labrador.house.gov>
Washinton Ph: 202-225-6611

GOVERNOR C.L. "BUTCH" OTTER

<http://www.idaho.gov>
<http://www.Efficiency.Idaho.gov>

IDAHO REPRESENTATIVES:

<http://www.govtrack.us>

IDAHO NEWS:

<http://www.idahoreporter.com>

HOW YOUR CONGRESSMEN VOTE-Sign up for mega vote at:

<http://www.congress.org>

GOVERNMENT TRANSPARENCY, PAYROLL AND EXPENDITURES:

www.OurIdaho.com

LEGISLATIVE SCORECARDS AND VOTING RECORDS:

www.IdahoVotes.org

The Tea Party Boise website, newsletters, communications to our state representatives and public leaders, the annual membership meeting, rallies, special Email announcements to members, and coordinating efforts with other freedom based organizations are just part of the activities that promote 'our' message that "We will not trade our freedom for statism". We all know that the federal government is on a power binge. Those that support big government have invested in that end and we have an enormous battle ahead to stop and reverse the damage. We must expand the 'reach' and impact of our voices that resonate "our passion and our allegiance is to the republic (a state where supreme power is held by the people--).

The board of directors are volunteers who, since the onset of organizing, have donated all time. We look to our nearly 3,000 members to get involved-make us a formidable force. *See:* <http://www.teapartyboise.com>

P.O Box 2576 Eagle, ID 83616.

Thank you! Tea Party Boise, Inc. Leadership

BOOKS

ROOTS OF OBAMA'S RAGE By Dinesh D'Souza

D'Souza exposes how Obama's philosophy towards government is threatening not only economic and personal freedom, but America's national sovereignty as well.

RIGHTEOUS INDIGNATION By Anrew Breitbart

Breitbart has one main goal: to make sure the "liberally biased" major news outlets in this country cover all aspects of a story fairly. Breitbart is convinced that too many national stories are slanted by the news media in an unfair way. Here, Breitbart talks about the key issues that Americans face, how he has aligned himself with the Tea Party.