



*Defenders of the Constitution of the United States of America
Tea Party Boise stands for, limited government, fiscal responsibility, private property,
state rights and individual sovereignty.*

TEA PARTY WIN EMBARRASSES GOP ESTABLISHMENT

By Philip Elliott, The Associated Press
Wednesday, May 26, 2010; 6:53 PM

WASHINGTON -- Chalk up another win for the tea party. And another embarrassment for the Republican establishment. Tea party favorite and two-term state lawmaker Raul Labrador defeated Vaughn Ward, a Marine reservist heavily recruited by national Republicans, in Idaho's primary on Tuesday. Ward's loss comes on the heels of several other races in which GOP establishment candidates stumbled as the anti-Washington mood takes hold.

National Republicans had coached Ward and had made him one of their first named recruits, known as "Young Guns." He also had the backing of former vice presidential candidate Sarah Palin.

On Wednesday, the GOP wasn't talking about Ward.

"We look forward to continuing to work with Raul Labrador and are focused on the election in November," said Paul Lindsay, a spokesman for the National Republican Congressional Committee.

TECHNOLOGY CHALLENGES POLITICIANS

The World-wide net is empowering technology offering information at our finger tips. The idea of 'pulling the wool' over ones eyes has become as archaic as reading by candle light. Prior to this information era, facts could be conveniently out of reach allowing one with devious intentions to aspire to unworthy heights.

Obama and his cohorts certainly proved that clever, well organized campaigns can be successful. People, fed up with debt incurred by the previous administration, bought Obama's campaign lies though truth was available. Never-the-less, young, good looking and big money is challenged by technology. Its interference is embraced by an intelligent public seeking verifiable information. Mistakes will still be made by the voters, however, sooner or later the truth will surface as we are witnessing.

Tea Party exemplifies the powerful force of informed citizens. The recent primary race for the senate seat between Raul Labrador and Vaughn Ward was the result of information identifying the conservative choice. What a politician does following his or her election is another chapter.

The point being, when the people are armed with information the power is with them. We out number 'them' and must remember, the direction of this country is up to us. We are sovereign only as long as we realize and exercise our power to protect this nation as a Republic.

Throughout history oppression was accomplished through ignorance of the people. Today, education and information is empowerment. It is up to the people to be heard.

by Nancy Armstrong

pg. 2--John Anderson, Carville Appointed Czar, Books, pg.3-Conviction, What Is It Worth? Repeal Obama's Ideas Pg. 4- Disclose Act, Obama's Thugocracy, pg.5-The Story of the WRD Bill, Pg. 6-(Immigration) Raise Your Hands, Arizona Immigration, Insider Insight Pg.7-2nd Amendment, Government Protecting Horses 8-Tea Party Boise Information, Mud in Their Faces poem



America doesn't need a "course correction". America needs to clean house and to rid itself of every single liberal and progressive in office, regardless of political party. It's about time for America to purge itself of socialists and Marxists and chase them back into the shadows from where they came. They've had their day and proven beyond a doubt that they can never be trusted with power again, at any level. They disgrace us all!

John Anderson

CARVILLE NEWLY APPOINTED AS 'RIGHT-WING THREAT ASSESSMENT' CZAR

Political Parody

Posted 6/2/2010

Washington, D.C. (Reuters) –This morning President Barack Obama introduced James Carville as the administration's new "Right Wing Media Threat Assessment and Quick Reaction Strike Force Czar." Carville a Professor of Practice at Tulane University, is married to Republican strategist Mary Matalin.

"Dr. Carville has an unparalleled understanding of the danger Fox News, right-leaning bloggers, and "new media" outlets like Big Government and Big Journalism pose to my plans for America," Obama said "He will work with the FCC, the FTC, Attorney General Holder, and the mainstream media to expose sedition masquerading as dissent wherever he finds it. Obama noted that Carville "---will be armed with subpoena power and command of his own SEIU SWAT team----".

At the podium,. carville said. "Ya'll got these here 'tea pirates' hijackin' the national conversation, talkin' trash, holdin' up the Constitution as some kinda sacred text that empowers 'em. They messin' wid simple, hard workin' folks' heads--people never give the IRS nor deficits no never mind before--gettin' 'em riled up 'n spoutin' anti-gummint nonsense. Makes you wanna slap your mama. "Breitbart, Limbaugh, Hannity, Drudge, the Reynolds fella," Carville continued, "they eggin' the pack on, tellin' 'em to yell fire in crowded town halls, creatin' mischief for decent politicians who done nothin' 'cept take their fair share of public monies to dole out to constituents as they see fit. "Well, I'm givin' all y'all fair warnin': startin' today, I'll be callin' in my own big ol' Cajun squirrel hounds to sniff out varmints, and when the hunt is done and the moon's risin' over Bayou Teche, the critters'll start simmerin' in the pot with the crawfish, thinkin', 'Mighty warm in here.' And if you don't like that, you don't like fried chicken."

BOOKS

TERRORIZING OURSELVES. At the Cato Institute, Benjamin H. Friedman is the Research Fellow in Defense and Homeland Security Studies, Jim Harper is the Director of Information Policy Studies, Cand Christopher A. Preble is the Director of Foreign Policy Studies. The authors also provide critical insights on an alternative counterterrorism and homeland security strategy - one that makes us safer and is founded on Americans' confidence rather than fears.

AN ARMY OF ONE, Rush Limbaugh by Zev Chafets

'While Limbaugh's public persona is instantly recognizable, his background and private life are often misunderstood. Even devoted Dittoheads will find there's a lot they don't know about the self-described "harmless little fuzzi-ball" who has, over the years, taken on the giants of the mainstream media and the Democratic Party-from Bill and Hillary Clinton to Barack Obama-with "half his brain tied behind his back, just to make it fair." Chafets paints a compelling portrait of Limbaugh as a master entertainer, a public intellectual, a political force, and a fascinating man.'



CONVICTION: WHAT IS IT WORTH?

The other day I retrieved a phone message that stated, to the effect, “Do you have a small business that has been impacted by this economy? If so, there is stimulous money available to help you revive your business and you do not have to repay it. There will be a meeting in your town soon. If interested call this number---” I thought to myself, ‘Now I could go to that meeting and convince ‘them’ that my business has been impacted. It would likely qualify for ‘government money’ right out of my neighbors pocket.’ That’s when the word, conviction, came to mind. and I began to contemplate its worth.

One night last week Bill O’Reilly interviewed Dr. Rand Paul after his winning the US Senate seat in Kentucky. It is common knowledge that O’Reilly has a successful style of communicating with guests that, at times, may be viewed as arrogant and rude. One of these times, in my opinion, was his interview of Rand. Baited questions were followed by “--well, I don’t agree, ---”. It seemed unnecessary given this was a conglatulatory moment for Rand. Regardless of whether the questions were fair or not, Rand, courageously and without reservation, expounded his convictions. How many politicians have that courage? Further, how many politicians fight for their convictions and how guilty or innocent are we regarding the courage of our personal convictions?

Entitlements and stimulus money are glaring examples of extorted money (taxation) taken from one and given to another for ‘the good of all’. When the temptaion of free money is waved like a carrot before us, will we justify accepting it when we believe in personal accountability?

Have we become accustomed to mediocrity in our politicians and their inability to stand by their convictions? Do we accept their selling out for the vote at the expense of being honest?

Conviction does not have a price. It cannot be traded for the vote nor for government entitlements. Conviction is protected with courage, honesty and hard work. It verifies our principles and beliefs.

Tea Partiers have proven their courage by standing up and voicing their outrage at slovenly politics. They vote for those who they believe will fight for and defend liberty. They are replused by mandates and regulations that abuse their rights. They are people that exercise personal conviction and expect the same of their political representatives.

by Nancy Armstrong

REPEAL OBAMA’S IDEAS AND REPLACE WITH CONSERVATIVE ONES

Published on April 19, 2010 by Brian Darling

Over the past month President Barack Obama, who remains personally popular, has pushed some very unpopular policies. Polling numbers indicate the future of ObamaCare, and the remainder of President Obama’s agenda, are in danger. According to Rasmussen Reports, “three weeks after Congress passed its new national healthcare plan, support for repeal of the measure has risen four points to 58%. That includes 50% of U.S. voters who strongly favor repeal.” Gallup’s most recent poll has the President with a mere 49% approval rate. These polls indicate that the American people want President Obama’s actions repealed and replaced with small-government ideas.

ObamaCare–Euthanize It

The three-pronged strategy to repeal every single word of the intolerable ObamaCare, has commenced. First, Florida Atty. Gen. Bill McCollum is leading a lawsuit on behalf of the citizens of 18 states to have the individual mandate declared unconstitutional. The federal government doesn’t have the enumerated power, under the Commerce or Taxing Clause of the U.S. Constitution, to force citizens of states to buy a privately marketed financial product.

Second, there are elections this fall. If pro-repeal candidates win enough seats to take over the House and Senate, there will be a working majority that can enter 2011 with the power to send appropriations bills to the President that don’t fund aspects of ObamaCare.

Finally, and most important to the cause, are the one-sentence bills to obliterate ObamaCare. Most of the offensive provisions in ObamaCare don’t kick in until 2014, therefore there are a few years for Sen. Jim DeMint (R.-S.C.), and Republican Representatives Jerry Moran (Kan.), Connie Mack (Fla.), Michele Bachmann (Minn.) and Steve King (Iowa) to garner support for their legislation for full repeal. This issue may well define the 2012 presidential campaign.

See: <http://www.heritage.org>



DISCLOSE ACT

So Much For Citizens United

by: Jillian Bades Wednesday, May 26, 2010

Earlier this year, the Supreme Court overturned parts of the McCain-Feingold Act in the Citizens United vs. FEC case. That ruling did away with campaign spending limits for unions and corporations, a move that was welcomed by many Republicans but scorned by many Democrats. Those Democrats are now trying to re-impose the restrictions that were imposed by the Supreme Court -- but only on corporations, and not on unions or government contractors. It's called the DISCLOSE Act, and it's coming up for a vote as early as Friday.

As the Heritage Foundation notes, one single union, the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, "plans to spend in excess of \$50 million during the 2010 campaign, part of which will fund 'a massive incumbent protection program.'" Under the Democrats bill, those are the kinds of people who will be allowed to spend whatever they please on campaigns, while private businesses will be restricted. Republicans have tried to introduce amendments to make the bill more equitable -- I'm kind of curious why they did this since it simply needs to be scrapped all together -- but those Amendments were voted down on party lines.

A group of former FEC officials opined other follies of the bill in a recent Wall Street Journal editorial: For example, while the Disclose Act prohibits any corporation with a federal contract of \$50,000 or more from making independent expenditures or electioneering communications, no such prohibition applies to unions. This \$50,000 trigger is so low it would exclude thousands of corporations from engaging in constitutionally protected political speech, the very core of the First Amendment. Yet public employee unions negotiate directly with the government for benefits many times the value of contracts that would trigger the corporate ban. If the bill goes through, it will almost certainly face another challenge in the Supreme Court -- the only question is "when." Will it be in time for the 2010 cycle? No matter what the result, it speaks volumes that Democrats think this type of legislation is a good idea.

See: <http://www.townhall.com>

OBAMA'S THUGOCRACY

By Andrea Tantaros

Published May 21, 2010

This past Sunday, in one of the most aggressive and offensive intimidation tactics to date, hundreds of members of the largest union -- the SEIU -- stormed the front yard of Bank of America deputy general counsel Greg Baer's home. The angry mob had bullhorns, signs and even broke the law by trespassing to bully Baer's teenage son, the only one home at the time, who locked himself in the bathroom out of fear. This is what unions do. They pressure politicians into spending too much. They push government into bad policy decisions. They sacrifice the private sector for the public sector. And now, they trespass and break the law only to scare the children of private citizens to get their way. If you think the unions are working alone, think again.

These protests, the ones storming Wall Street bank lobbies and now the private homes of bankers, are likely being carefully coordinated with the White House to increase their profile against the financial fat cats and help pass disgraced Connecticut Senator Chris Dodd's financial regulatory bill. Remember, when the White House visitor records were finally made public, it was SEIU boss Andy Stern who was the most frequent guest. There are also no coincidences in politics. The bill passed the Senate last night.

From the G.M. bondholders, to the Black Panthers at polling stations, to ACORN to these assaults on private citizens, Obama is running a Hugo Chavez-style thugocracy. Like Chavez, he gets non-official "allies" to act as his henchmen and do the intimidation work. Obama provides the narrative and tells the story of "greed" while the SEIU provides the muscle. This is about power, not prosperity. This time it's gone too far. Unions see the writing on the wall. The goose that laid the golden egg is bleeding on the operating table -- and they're the ones who killed it. They are bankrupting local and state governments, and putting a strain on the federal budget. Unions have also put us at a major trade imbalance. The stimulus has gone to create more public sector union jobs. These jobs cost on average, 30K more than their private sector equivalents. Take New York State, for example, once upon a time there was manufacturing, a robust Wall Street engine of growth, Fortune 500 companies aplenty. That "Empire State" is no more. The unions lobbied to ensure that these companies were taxed to death and made it extremely challenging to do business -- so much that it became easier to do business in communist China. Let's be clear, I'm not defending Bank of America. I'm defending the American tax payer from organized labor who has bled them dry and the politicians who have been too weak to stand up to their gangster ways.

See: <http://www.FOXNEWS.com>



[FOLLOW THE STORY OF THE WRDA BILL](#)

BOEHNER ASKS OBERSTAR TO DROP ALL GOP EARMARKS FROM WRDA BILL

May 25, 2010, 6:46 p.m.

By Jackie Kucinich-Roll Call Staff

House Minority Leader John Boehner (R-Ohio) is asking Transportation and Infrastructure Chairman James Oberstar (D-Minn.) to disregard all Republican requests for projects in the Water Resources Development Act reauthorization he is drafting.

The House Republicans' self-imposed yearlong earmark moratorium bars all earmarks — . In preparation for a new WRDA bill, 354 House Members — including 120 Republicans — submitted project requests to the committee last summer.

The WRDA bill includes projects such as dam and levee replacements, beach replenishment, drainage upgrades and water treatment facilities. Committee staff said this spring that there were about 5,000 Democratic project requests and about 1,500 Republican project requests submitted overall.

The Boehner/ Mica letter comes a week after Boehner warned the conference that Members who fail to remove their earmark requests from the bill risk losing their committee assignments next year.

Only a handful of Republican Members have said they will submit requests for earmarks despite the ban and have filed earmark requests with the House Appropriations Committee — including Reps. Anh “Joseph” Cao (La.), Henry Brown (S.C.), Don Young (Alaska) and Ron Paul (Texas). Paul Singer contributed to this report.

See: <http://www.rollcall.com>

THE WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2007:
A PORK FEST FOR WEALTHY BEACH-FRONT PROPERTY OWNERS

WebMemo On Federal Budget

Published on May 15, 2007 by Ronald Utt, Ph.D.

On April 19, 2007, the House passed the pork-laden Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (H.R. 1495) and sent it to the Senate, where it has acquired additional earmarks. Some of these earmarks would require the Army Corps of Engineers to finance a series of costly projects that benefit the rich and influential who can afford a lobbyist with access to Members of Congress and committee staff.

See: <http://www.heritage.org>

FEDERAL BUDGET SPENDING RUN AMOK:
PRESIDENT SHOULD VETO WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT

Published on September 26, 2007 by Nicola Moore and Alison Acosta Fraser

The Water Resources Development Act (WRDA), or H.R. 1495, offers more evidence that Members of Congress have abandoned their pledge to get spending under control. Due to egregious spending and a plethora of new earmarks, the conference report inflates the bill's price tag by 53 percent.-----.

The bill has two key problems that merit a presidential veto: excessive spending and the use of federal tax dollars to fund state and local responsibilities.

The original House and Senate versions of the bill would have cost taxpayers \$15 billion and \$14 billion, respectively, and included a slew of pet projects. Both amounts vastly exceeded the President's original request of \$4.9 billion. Rather than split the difference, creative conferees threw open the barn doors to even more egregious spending and "airdropped" earmarks. The final bill now costs more than \$23 billion.

WRDA is a prime example of legislation run amok; it is filled with excessive spending that is outside the scope of the legislation. Federal taxpayers should not have to foot the bill for the demands of special and parochial interests. A veto would affirm the President's commitment to spending discipline and would remind Members of Congress about their promises of fiscal restraint.

Nicola Moore is Research Coordinator for, and Alison Acosta Fraser is Director of, the Thomas A. Roe Institute for Economic Policy Studies at The Heritage Foundation.

See: <http://www.heritage.org>



RAISE YOUR HANDS IF YOU THINK THE DEPLOYMENT OF 1,200 SOLDIERS ON THE BORDER
WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE!

The US government already has over 20,000 border patrol agents to protect the 2,000 miles long border between the U.S. and Mexico. It operates 24 hours a day. Secretary of Homeland Security, Napolitano, recently stated, regarding the border, "I believe it is as secure as it ever has been." Whew! Why would any of us be concerned about national security! Earlier this month Napolitano admitted she had not read the Arizona Bill, "but knew of it".

We can be assured that the administration's priorities does not include, national security, protecting our borders or implementing the law. In fact the Obama Administration has reversed a number of policies that had improved enforcement. These changes have included ending workplace raids and implementing "catch and release" of illegals.

* Rather than pursue comprehensive immigration reform, the Administration and Congress should ensure that the existing policies on border security, interior enforcement, and non-immigrant visas are working. But, no, the Obama Administration has put comprehensive immigration reform, including some form of amnesty for the 10.8 million illegal immigrants in America, on the fast track for legislative action. This move comes at a time when the effectiveness of America's current border security, interior enforcement, and visa policies remains uncertain at best.

* Full report: Backgrounder On Homeland Security and Immigration Time to Stop the Rush for "Amnesty" Immigration Reform, Published on March 18, 2010 by Matt Mayer and Jena Baker McNeill See: <http://www.heritage.org>

ARIZONA IMMIGRATION LAW-A CHALLENGE FOR OBAMA

The legal battle over Arizona's new immigration law becomes complicated. A document, written in 2002 by the Justice Department's Office of Legal Counsel, concluded that state police officers have "inherent power" to arrest undocumented immigrants for violating federal law. It was issued by Jay S. Bybee, who also helped write controversial memos from the same era that sanctioned harsh interrogation of terrorism suspects. The 2002 opinion, known as the "inherent authority" memo, reversed a 1996 Office of Legal Counsel opinion from the Clinton administration.

"The Justice Department's official position as of now is that local law enforcement has the inherent authority to enforce federal immigration law," said Robert Driscoll, a former Justice Department Civil Rights Division official in the George W. Bush administration who represents an Arizona sheriff known for aggressive immigration enforcement. "How can you blame someone for exercising authority that the department says they have?"

The Arizona law, signed by Gov. Jan Brewer (R) last month, empowers police to question anyone if authorities have a "reasonable suspicion" the person is an illegal immigrant. Attorney General Eric H. Holder Jr. has said the department is considering a lawsuit against Arizona.

Cecillia Wang, managing attorney of the ACLU Immigrants' Rights Project renewed the ACLU's call for the Obama Justice Department to withdraw the 2002 memo, which she called legally incorrect. "The fact that this memo is lurking out there gives cover and comfort to people in Arizona and other states who want to pass these overbroad and extraordinary anti-immigration measures," she said.

OUTSIDER INSIGHT!

This quote came from the Czech Republic via a long chain of e-mails.

"The danger to America is not Barack Obama but a citizenry capable of entrusting a man like him with the Presidency. It will be far easier to limit and undo the follies of an Obama presidency than to restore the necessary common sense and good judgment to a depraved electorate willing to have such a man for their president."

"The problem is much deeper and far more serious than Mr. Obama, who is a mere symptom of what ails America. Blaming the prince of the fools should not blind anyone to the vast confederacy of fools that made him their prince.

The Republic can survive a Barack Obama, who is, after all, merely a fool. It is less likely to survive a multitude of fools such as those who made him their president."



SECOND AMENDMENT AND AMERICANS' FIREARM FREEDOMS

Give me liberty or ...by Chuck Norris-

World Net Daily, Posted: May 03, 2010

I believe the political stars are aligning right now for the opening of a new front in the battle against our gun rights: via the election and work of an anti-gun president, the disarmament passions of the Washington majority and the United Nations, the appointments of gun prohibitionists from the White House to the Supreme Court and the funding of an anti-Second Amendment movement by billionaire progressives like George Soros.

In Part One last week, I discussed President Obama's anti-Second Amendment record and his administration's goals to use dormant treaties and global agencies to loosen the boundaries and binds of the Second Amendment. I wish to expand upon the United Nation's participation a little further in this second part of my trilogy.

In October 2009, the Obama administration reversed the position taken by the Bush White House by stating its support for a process that could, in 2012, result in an international treaty to regulate conventional arms sales. Of course, "regulate" is a euphemism here for "the beginning of banning." U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton said the United States would support the talks as long as the negotiating forum, the so-called Conference on the Arms Trade Treaty, "operates under the rules of consensus decision-making." Without a single mention of the Second Amendment or America's sovereignty in her entire statement, Clinton said, "The United States is committed to actively pursuing a strong and robust treaty that contains the highest possible, legally binding standards for the international transfer of conventional weapons."

Amnesty International and Oxfam International jointly declared the action "a major breakthrough in launching formal negotiations at the United Nations." But do Americans really want or need the U.N. to tell us what to do with our guns with an international treaty? And when negotiating with other countries, do we really expect non-U.S. delegates to be conciliatory to America's unique Second Amendment rights? James Madison noted in the Federalist, No. 46, "The Constitution preserves 'the advantage of being armed which Americans possess over the people of almost every other nation ... (where) the governments are afraid to trust the people with arms.'"

In 2006, the U.N. General Assembly passed a resolution titled "Toward an arms trade treaty." In 2008, the General Assembly passed another resolution, accelerating efforts toward an arms trade treaty. In both cases, the U.S. was the only opposing vote of 154 countries. Now, it would appear that Obama and Clinton have ordered our team of U.N. negotiators to drop their opposition and move forward to develop "consensus." See full report: <http://www.wnd.com/?pageId=148837>

HORSES UNDER THE CARE OF GOVERNMENT!!!

The BLM (Bureau of Land Management) estimates that it takes \$15,000 per horse in tax dollars over its lifetime in capture and holding costs. In Fiscal Year 2007, it spent \$38.8 million on its wild horse and burro program. This level of funding needed to support necessary removals from the range while maintaining lifetime holding for older adoptable animals will be at least \$85 million in 2012—reported by Sue Wallis, a Wyoming rancher and writer. Wallis is a Wyoming state representative who founded the United Organization of the horse. The organization seeks to unify all like-minded equine associations and individuals in support of its mission "to promote the humane care and management of horses, and the continued viability of the equine community in the United States of America," a media release said. See: <http://www.unitedorgsofthehorse.com>

Dumping unwanted horses in the west is easy and as cruel as dumping a dog on the side of a road. What does a domestic horse know about 'roaming' the desert? Many are unable to survive harsh Winters and die of starvation. Thanks to our moral watch dogs, the government, horse processing plants were shut down in 2007. Humane Society of the United States, The Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA), were the ignorant driving force behind this legislation that encouraged dumping a growing number of horses in a threatening environment. The activists not only ignore private property rights (sound familiar), they also have no problem dictating the cuisines of a majority of the world cultures.

Then there is the Madelein Pickens solution or rather, scam.-ready for this! In support of H.R. 1018, the Restoring Our American Mustang (ROAM) Act. she publicly announced plans for a horse heaven on a one million acre sanctuary for wild horses. According to Fox News, Madelein requested a 'stipend' of \$500.00 per horse and free grazing rights on BLM land. The Winecup/Gamble Ranches near Montello, Nevada would include 25,000 acres of deeded land and 75,000 acres BLM-permitted land. It could run 1/3 of the 'wild horses-however, nothing was mentioned about the other 2/3. Also, she didn't address the 20% birth rate that had already outgrown her dream. However, Madelain is no dummy, she knew how to turn a good deed into a dollar!



The TEA PARTY BOISE NEWSLETTER

Welcomes your comments on issues.

We reserve the right to publish and edit what we feel is appropriate for each issue and as needed.

Please keep submissions within 350 words.

Tea Party Boise
NIGHT AT THE BOISE HAWKS!

Tickets are just \$15 for a shaded seat, hot dog & soda voucher!

Questions? Get in touch with us at contact@teapartyboise.com

To purchase tickets visit <http://www.teapartyboise.com/store>

The threat against the very essence and core of what the United States of America exemplifies, is real. Our Constitution, the Declaration of Independence and Bill of Rights were written to prohibit political and judicial manipulations that bespeak oppressive i.e. big government. This is a Republic (dictionary: A state in which supreme power is held by the people---). We are a nation suffering a threat from within.

If we, in our home towns, our state and nation, are subjugated by the government, our dollar weakened, property diminished, and spirit depressed, does it not indicate that we must act with stronger resolve and greater passion to dismantle the foes of freedom. Contact your congressmen and senators in Idaho. Let them know how they are doing and what you believe will promote freedom from big government. Invite them to join us at Tea Party Boise. Encourage your friends to do the same.

- CONTINUE TOWN HALL MEETINGS,
- WRITE AND CALL STATE REPRESENTATIVES & SENATORS
- CONTACT THE GOVERNOR
- SUPPORT WITH YOUR REOURCES ORGANIZATIONS THAT IMPACT PUBLIC OPINION.
- VOTE!

SIGN UP AND VOLUNTEER YOUR TIME TO SUPPORT LIBERTY.

E-mail: contact@teapartyboise.com

SENATORS:

Mike Crapo: Ph: 202-224-6142
Fax: 202-228-1375

Web: <http://www.crapo.senate.gov>

James Risch: <http://www.risch.senate.gov>

MEMBERS OF CONGRESS:

Mike Simpson: Fax: 202-228-1375
<http://www.simpson.house.gov>

Walt C. Minnick
Ph: 202-225-6611

Fax: 202-225-3029

<http://www.minnick.house.gov>

IDAHO REPRESENTATIVES:

<http://www.govtrack.us>

IDAHO NEWS:

<http://www.idahoreporter.com>

GOVERNOR C.L.“BUTCH” OTTER

<http://www.idaho.gov>

<http://www.Efficiency.Idaho.gov>

Dear Tea Partiers,

Tea Party Boise needs your financial support!

Several events are planned for this year, such as last weeks Congressional Forum. We need not only your presence at these events, but your financial support.

It's been said that freedom isn't free, neither are the expenses of running this organization. Large, modest or small donations will help promote the viability of Tea Party Boise and its impact on the free market and limited government. Sponsorships are both necessary and available.

Our influence is limited only by your involvement both as members, volunteers and by your financial contributions. 100% of all donations go directly for the needs of the Tea Party Boise.

Click at either <http://www.teapartyboise.com> or send to P.O. Box 901, Meridian, ID 83680

Thank you!

MUD IN THEIR FACES!

***When will they learn, in Washington D.C.,
that the voters decide not the GOP!
It's not up to Boehner, Palin or Sessions,
It's Idaho people, and their personal descretion.***

***Did they think we were sleeping during this time,
Peeling potatoes, just earnin' a dime?
Oblivious, they flaunted their political creation.
Well, mud in your faces before the whole nation!***

Nancy Armstrong